

Distributional Inequalities and Landau's Proof of the Weierstrass Theorem

ROBERT CARMIGNANI

Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri 65201

Communicated by G. G. Lorentz

Received September 15, 1975

Distributional inequalities are shown to determine analytic, geometric, and convergence properties of the "Landau type polynomials" for a continuous function on a compact interval.

INTRODUCTION

After slightly altering the approximating polynomials in Landau's proof of the Weierstrass theorem we show that each of the redefined *Landau polynomials* f_n for a continuous function f on $[a, b]$ has any nice property on $[a, b]$ that f has. This is done using distributional inequalities. Also, we prove that if the $m + 2$ th distributional derivative of f is a measure, then $f \in C^m(a, b)$ and the sequence of m th derivatives $\{f_n^{(m)}\}$ converges uniformly to $f^{(m)}$ on compact subintervals of $[a, b]$.

1. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

The space of test functions on the open interval (a, b) is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(a, b)$ and its dual space, $\mathcal{L}'(a, b)$, is the set of distributions in (a, b) . For $f \in L^1_{loc}(a, b)$ (the space of locally Lebesgue integrable functions on (a, b)), T_f is its associated distribution in $\mathcal{L}'(a, b)$ and $\mathcal{L}^m T_f$ is the n th distributional derivative of f . For $T \in \mathcal{L}'(a, b)$, $T \geq 0$ in (a, b) means that T is positive semidefinite (when T is positive definite we write $T > 0$). For regular distributions T_f and T_g in $\mathcal{L}'(a, b)$, $\mathcal{L}^m T_f \geq T_g$ in (a, b) means $\mathcal{L}^m T_f - T_g \geq 0$ in (a, b) .

$C^n(a, b)$ is the space of n -times continuously differentiable functions on (a, b) and $C[a, b]$ denotes the space of continuous functions on $[a, b]$.

A function f is said to be locally Lipschitzian on (a, b) if for each compact subinterval $[c, d]$ of (a, b) there exists a constant M (depending on $[c, d]$) such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M|x - y|$ for all x and y in $[c, d]$.

Let $Q_n(x)$ be $(1 - x^2)^n$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and 0 elsewhere. Set $1/c_n = \int_{-1}^1 Q_n(x) dx$. Then $K_n = c_n Q_n$ forms a Dirac sequence $\{K_n\}$ and the functions K_n are called the Landau kernels.

For $f \in C[a, b]$, let L_f be the function determined by the line through the points $(a, f(a))$ and $(b, f(b))$, and let $\tilde{f} = f - L_f$ on $[a, b]$ and vanish outside of $[a, b]$. Landau's proof of the Weierstrass theorem originally given in [5] (compare [2, p. 214]) essentially states: (1) If $f \in C[a, b]$, then $L_f + \tilde{f} * \tilde{K}_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$; and (2) for each n , $L_f + \tilde{f} * \tilde{K}_n$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2n$ on $[a, b]$, where $\tilde{K}_n(t) = (b - a)^{-1} K_n(t/(b - a))$ and $\tilde{f} * \tilde{K}_n$ is the convolution

$$\int_{-x}^x \tilde{f}(t) \tilde{K}_n(x - t) dt = \int_a^b \tilde{f}(t) \tilde{K}_n(x - t) dt.$$

Define \hat{f} by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(x) &= f(x), & \text{if } x \in [a, b], \\ &= L_f(x), & \text{if } x \notin [a, b]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $L_f * \tilde{K}_n = L_f$, one can easily show that $\hat{f} * \tilde{K}_n = L_f + (\hat{f} - L_f) * \tilde{K}_n = L_f + \tilde{f} * \tilde{K}_n$, and we shall denote the polynomial $\hat{f} * \tilde{K}_n$ on $[a, b]$ by f_n , and the polynomial f_n is called the n th Landau polynomial for f .

For any polynomials p and q such that $p(a) = f(a)$ and $q(b) = f(b)$, let $f(p; q)$ be the extension of f defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f(p; q)(x) &= p(x), & \text{if } x < a \\ &= f(x), & \text{if } x \in [a, b] \\ &= q(x), & \text{if } x > b. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f_n(p; q)$ denote the convolution $f(p; q) * \tilde{K}_n$. It is easy to see that $f_n(p; q)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2n + 1 + \max \text{degr}(p, q)$. Also, since $\{\tilde{K}_n\}$ is a Dirac sequence and since $\tilde{K}_n(x - t)$ as a function of t has support in $[2a - b, 2b - a]$ for each $x \in [a, b]$, $f_n(p; q) \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$ and

$$f_n(p; q)(x) = \int_{2a-b}^{2b-a} f(p; q)(t) \tilde{K}_n(x - t) dt.$$

We shall call $f_n(p; q)$ a redefined Landau polynomial. Note that when $p = q = L_f$, then $f_n(p; q)$ is the original n th Landau polynomial f_n . However, when f is a polynomial of degree i , f_n is usually of degree $2n$, but for each n the redefined Landau polynomial $f_n(f; f)$ (with $p = q = f$) is of degree i and has the same i th and $(i - 1)$ th derivatives as f .

2.

The following lemma is well known (see [1, p. 106] or [3, p. 54]).

LEMMA 1. Let T be in $\mathcal{L}'(a, b)$.

(i) $\mathcal{L}T \geq 0$ in (a, b) if and only if T is defined by a nondecreasing function in (a, b) .

(ii) $\mathcal{L}^2T \geq 0$ in (a, b) if and only if T is defined by a convex function in (a, b) .

Remark. Strict inequality (i.e., positive definiteness) in Lemma 1 characterizes the increasing functions and the strictly convex functions.

Let L be defined by $L(t) = (b - a)t + a$.

THEOREM 2. Let f and g be in $C(a, b)$ and suppose that for some integer $m \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{L}^m T_f \geq T_g \quad \text{in } (a, b).$$

(i) If $m = 1$, then f is locally of bounded variation in (a, b) .

(ii) If $m \geq 2$, then $f \in C^{m-2}(a, b)$ and $f^{(m-2)}$ is locally Lipschitzian on (a, b) .

(iii) If m is any nonnegative integer, then

$$\mathcal{L}^m T_{f \circ L} \geq (b - a)^m T_{g \circ L} \quad \text{in } (0, 1).$$

Proof. (i) Let ζ be such that $\zeta' = g$ in (a, b) . Then $\mathcal{L}T_{f-\zeta} \geq 0$ in (a, b) , and by Lemma 1(i) we see that $f - \zeta$ is nondecreasing. The result now follows from the monotonicity of $f - \zeta$ since $\zeta \in C^1(a, b)$.

(ii) Let H be any solution to the differential equation $y^{(m)} = g$ in (a, b) . Then $\mathcal{L}^m T_{f-H} \geq 0$ since $\mathcal{L}^m T_H = T_g$. By Lemma 1(ii), we can conclude that the distribution $\mathcal{L}^{m-2} T_{f-H}$ is defined by a convex function κ on (a, b) . Then the continuity of f implies that $(f - H)^{(m-2)} = \kappa$. Since convex functions are locally Lipschitzian and $H^{(m)}$ is continuous, we obtain that $f^{(m-2)}$ exists and is locally Lipschitzian.

(iii) $\mathcal{L}^m T_f \geq T_g$ in (a, b) implies that for any nonnegative test function ϕ on $(0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^m T_{f \circ L}(\phi) &= (-1)^m \int_0^1 f((b - a)t + a) \phi^{(m)}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m}{(b - a)^m} \int_a^b f(u) \phi^{(m)}\left(\frac{u - a}{b - a}\right) du \\ &= (b - a)^{m-1} \mathcal{L}^m T_f\left(\phi\left(\frac{u - a}{b - a}\right)\right) \\ &\geq (b - a)^{m-1} T_g\left(\phi\left(\frac{u - a}{b - a}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

since the function defined by $u \mapsto \phi((u - a)/(b - a)) \geq 0$ is in $\mathcal{L}(0, 1)$. Then because $T_g(\phi((u - a)/(b - a))) = (b - a) T_{g \circ L}(\phi)$, we have that $\mathcal{L}^m T_{f \circ L} \geq (b - a)^m T_{g \circ L}$ in $(0, 1)$. The proof is complete.

THEOREM 3. *Let f be in $C[a, b]$ and suppose that for some integer $m \geq 0$, there exists $g \in C[a, b]$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L}^m T_f \geq T_{\tilde{g}} \quad \text{in } (2a - b, 2b - a).$$

Then for each integer $n > m$, $f_n^{(m)} \geq g_n$ holds in (a, b) , where $\{f_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$ are the Landau polynomials for f and g , respectively, on $[a, b]$. Furthermore, $f' \in L^1_{loc}(a, b)$ when $m = 1$ and when $m \geq 2$, $f \in C^{m-2}(a, b)$ and $f_n^{(i)} \rightarrow f^{(i)}$ uniformly on compact subintervals of (a, b) for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m - 2$).

Proof. First assume that $[a, b] = [0, 1]$ and let $K_{n,\epsilon}(x - t)$ be the regularizations (as defined in [1, p. 56]) of $K_n(x - t)$ for fixed $x \in (0, 1)$. For ϵ sufficiently small $K_{n,\epsilon}(x - t)$ is a nonnegative test function in $\mathcal{L}(-1, 2)$ as a function of t for $x \in (0, 1)$ because $K_n(x - t) \geq 0$ and has support $= [x - 1, x + 1] \subset (-1, 2)$. Furthermore, for $n > m$ and fixed $x \in (0, 1)$ we have that $K_{n,\epsilon}^{(m)}(x - t) \rightarrow K_n^{(m)}(x - t)$ uniformly on $[-1, 2]$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Now we can conclude that for $n > m$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^{(m)}(x) &= \tilde{f} * K_n^{(m)}(x) = \int_{-1}^2 \tilde{f}(t) K_n^{(m)}(x - t) dt \\ &= (-1)^m \int_{-1}^2 \tilde{f}(t) D_t^{(m)}(K_n(x - t)) dt \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (-1)^m \int_{-1}^2 \tilde{f}(t) D_t^{(m)}(K_{n,\epsilon}(x - t)) dt \\ &\geq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}^m T_f(K_{n,\epsilon}(x - t)) \geq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T_{\tilde{g}}(K_{n,\epsilon}(x - t)) \\ &= \tilde{g} * K_n(x) = g_n(x) \text{ for } x \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

The differentiability properties follow from Theorem 2 and permit us to conclude that $f_n^{(i)} = \tilde{f}^{(i)} * K_n \rightarrow f^{(i)}$ uniformly on compact subintervals of $(0, 1)$ because $f^{(i)}$ is in $C(0, 1)$ (see [2, p. 212]).

In the general case when $[a, b]$ is any compact interval, we have from parts (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 2 that $f \in C^{m-2}(a, b)$ (when $m \geq 2$), and

$$\mathcal{L}^m T_{f \circ L} \geq (b - a)^m T_{\tilde{g} \circ L} \quad \text{in } (-1, 2).$$

Let

$$L^{-1}(x) = \frac{x - a}{b - a} :$$

then $(f \circ L)_n(L^{-1}(x)) = f_n(x) = (\hat{f} + \tilde{K}_n)(x)$ for $x \in [a, b]$, and it follows from the part of the theorem already proved that

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^{(m)}(x) &= (f \circ L)_n^{(m)}(L^{-1}(x)) = \frac{1}{(b-a)^m} (g \circ L)_n(L^{-1}(x)) \\ &= g_n(x) = \hat{g} + \tilde{K}_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_n^{(i)} = \hat{f}^{(i)} + \tilde{K}_n$, we also obtain from the case $[a, b] = [0, 1]$ that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$ and $f_n^{(i)} \rightarrow f^{(i)}$ uniformly on compact subintervals of (a, b) for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m-2$).

Remark. Note that \hat{f} is monotone on $(2a-b, 2b-a)$ whenever f is monotone on (a, b) . So Lemma 1 and Theorem 3 imply that each of the original Landau polynomials f_n is monotone on $[a, b]$ when f is.

THEOREM 4. *Let f be in $C[a, b]$ and suppose that for some integer $m \geq 0$, there exists $g \in C[a, b]$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L}^m T_i \geq T_u \quad \text{in } (a, b).$$

Then there exists a sequence of redefined Landau polynomials $\{\tilde{f}_n\}$ depending on m and g such that $\tilde{f}_n^{(m)} \geq \hat{g}_n$ holds in (a, b) for each n , where $\{\hat{g}_n\}$ is a redefined sequence of Landau polynomials which converges uniformly to g on $[a, b]$. Furthermore, $f' \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(a, b)$ when $m = 1$ and when $m \geq 2$, $f \in C^{m-2}(a, b)$ and $\tilde{f}_n^{(i)} \rightarrow f^{(i)}$ uniformly on compact subintervals of (a, b) for each i ($0 \leq i \leq m-2$).

Proof. The differentiability properties of f follow from Theorem 2. From the proof of (ii) of that theorem we know that $\kappa = (f - H)^{(m-2)}$ is convex on (a, b) . Since κ is convex, it is differentiable a.e., and the left- and right-hand derivatives exist everywhere in (a, b) and are nondecreasing. Thus κ'' is defined a.e. in (a, b) . This implies that $f^{(m)}$ exists a.e. in (a, b) because $H \in C^m(a, b)$.

Let $\{(a_k, b_k)\}$ be a sequence of intervals which increase to (a, b) and such that $f^{(m)}$ exists at each a_k and b_k . For each k , define $\tilde{f}(p_k; q_k)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(p_k; q_k)(x) &= p_k(x), & \text{if } x < a_k, \\ &= f(x), & \text{if } x \in [a_k, b_k], \\ &= q_k(x), & \text{if } x > b_k, \end{aligned}$$

where p_k and q_k are the m th Taylor polynomials for f at a_k and b_k , respectively. Let $g_k = g(a_k)$ for $x < a_k$, $g(b_k)$ for $x > b_k$, and agree with g on $[a_k, b_k]$. If H_k is a C^m function such that $H_k^{(m)} = g_k$, then $\kappa_k = (\tilde{f}(p_k; q_k) - H_k)^{(m-2)}$ is convex on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ because $\kappa_k'' \geq 0$ outside of $[a_k, b_k]$, and because κ_k equals $(f - H)^{(m-2)}$ on (a_k, b_k) and is differentiable at the endpoints. Now it follows from Lemma 1 and $(m-2)$ integrations by parts that

the m th distributional derivative of $\tilde{f}(p_k; q_k)$ is greater than or equal to (in the sense of distributions) the distribution defined by g_k for each integer $k \geq 0$. From this we obtain, just as in the proof of Theorem 3, that $(\tilde{f}(p_k; q_k) * \tilde{K}_n)^{(m)} \geq g_k * \tilde{K}_n$ holds in (a, b) for any $n \geq 0$. Hence the sequence defined by $\tilde{f}_n = \tilde{f}(p_n; q_n) * \tilde{K}_n$ satisfies $\tilde{f}_n^{(m)} \geq \tilde{g}_n$ in (a, b) and has the desired convergence properties since $\tilde{f}(p_n; q_n) \rightarrow f$ (for n sufficiently large) on each compact subinterval of (a, b) and $\tilde{g}_n = g_n * \tilde{K}_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly on $[a, b]$. This completes the proof.

Remark. Lemma 1 and Theorems 2, 3, and 4 remain valid when we reverse the inequalities and replace the words “nondecreasing” and “convex” by “nonincreasing” and “concave” wherever they appear.

Theorem 3 shows that the original Landau polynomials inherit their properties from \tilde{f} , so, for example, they might not be convex on $[a, b]$ when f is convex on $[a, b]$. Theorem 4 shows how to approximate by redefined Landau polynomials having one prescribed property on $[a, b]$ possessed by f . The following theorem treats (simultaneously) special prescribed properties.

THEOREM 5. *Let f be in $C[a, b]$. If f has any of the properties*

- (i) *odd or even where $b = -a > 0$,*
- (ii) *nondecreasing or nonincreasing,*
- (iii) *convex or concave*

on $[a, b]$, then there exists a sequence of redefined Landau polynomials $\{\tilde{f}_n\} \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$ such that each \tilde{f}_n has the corresponding property on $[a, b]$.

Proof. For $2/k \leq b - a$, let p_k be the function determined by the line through the points $(a, f(a))$ and $(a_k, f(a_k))$, and let q_k be determined by the line through $(b, f(b))$ and $(b_k, f(b_k))$, where $a_k = a - 1/k$ and $b_k = b - 1/k$. Then it is easy to see that each $\tilde{f}(p_k; q_k)$ (as defined in Theorem 4) inherits on $[2a - b, 2b - a]$ any of the properties (i), (ii), and (iii) from f . (By renumbering we may assume that $\tilde{f}(p_k; q_k)$ is defined for each positive integer k .) Now it follows, from Lemma 1, Theorem 4, and obvious facts about the convolutions of even or odd functions with even functions, that $\tilde{f}(p_k; q_k) * \tilde{K}_n$ inherits on $[a, b]$ any of these properties from f for any positive integers n and k . Since $\tilde{f}(p_k; q_k) \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$ and $\{\tilde{K}_n\}$ is a Dirac sequence, we can select from $\{\tilde{f}(p_k; q_k) * \tilde{K}_n\}$ a sequence $\{\tilde{f}_n\}$ which converges uniformly to f on $[a, b]$.

Remarks. (1) It is obvious that if each of the polynomials satisfies the hypotheses of either Theorem 3 or Theorem 4 or has any of the properties in Theorem 5, then f does also.

- (2) The results given here easily generalize
- (i) when $f \in L^1[a, b]$ and convergence is in L^1 norm;
 - (ii) in higher dimensions, when the functions are continuous on a finite product of compact intervals (see [4, p. 123]).
- (3) Since the function $-x^{1/2}$ is convex on $[0, 1]$ but cannot be extended to a convex function on $[a, 1]$ for any $a < 0$, we see why the convolutions $\tilde{f}(p_k; q_k) * \tilde{K}_n$ were used in the proof of Theorem 5.
- (4) The degree of approximation of continuous functions by certain convolution-type operators (including the Landau kernel) can be found in [6].

REFERENCES

1. W. F. DONOGHUE, JR., "Distributions and Fourier Transforms," Academic Press, New York/London, 1969.
2. S. LANG, "Analysis I," Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
3. L. SCHWARTZ, "Théorie des Distributions," Hermann, Paris, 1966.
4. L. M. GRAVES, "Theory of Functions of a Real Variable," McGraw-Hill, 2nd ed., 1956.
5. E. LANDAU, Über die approximation einer stetigen funktion durch eine ganze rationale funktion, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **25** (1908), 337-345.
6. R. BOJANIC AND O. SHISHA, On the precision of uniform approximation of continuous functions by certain linear positive operators of convolution type, *J. Approximation Theory* **8** (1973), 101-113.